CCB Decision Summary

Requests Covered

Submitted To CCB by	Request Title (Task Headline	System / Subsystem	User Impact (Severity)	Request ID	Request Status (Task State)
Diana Dixon	CGAP-RR (Phase III)	J2EE Internal / CGAP-RR		CQERA00020795	CCB Rejected

Decision Summary

CQERA00020795

1.   Summary

Task Id: CQERA00020795
Task Order Id: 2004-8 - Maintenance 2 (ACT)
Submitted to CCB by: Diana Dixon
Date Submitted To CCB: 2004-10-12 00:00:00
Request Description (Task Headline): CGAP-RR (Phase III)
Severity:
System: J2EE Internal
SubSystem: CGAP-RR
Target Release: CGAP-RR (Phase III)
Target Release Date: 2004-11-29 00:00:00
Recommended Timeframe (Target Test Deploy Date):   2004-11-12 00:00:00
CCB Approval Counts: 0
CCB Decision: **CCB Rejected**
Notes:
This task is to fine tune the performance, add new functions and fix defects in CGAP Receipt and Referral in time for January 2005 processing. Diane Dixon stated that the level of effort is estimated at 500 hours and testing is expected to take two weeks (80 hours). She said that a large percentage of the 500 hours would be taken up before the Database Configuration Control Board that approves changes in databases. Kalpesh Patel requested a line item level of effort from Diana to ensure that indeed that is the case and to suggest ways of shortening that time. Tim Twomey stated that given the 500 hours level of effort needed, it would be impossible to have the changes done in time for a January release. He suggested that Diana pare down the task list to the few critical components needed to be done in time for the January processing. Tim stated that the changes probably needed to be done by Dec. 1 and be followed by a two week testing cycle to make it in time for January; that schedule seemed unrealistic given the 500 hours. CCB chair Donna Frahm concurred, stating that maintenance tasks are supposed to be 150 hours or less and this was way over that limit. She suggested that Diana sit down with Tim and Jennifer Flach to thrash out the issue and whittle down the hours. For now, this task has been disapproved; however, if Diana comes back with a pared down list and reasonable maintenance hours, her request could be approved via email before next week's CCB meeting.

2. Description and Justification

Description:
CGAP-RR(Phase III) Requirements for January 2005 processing.
Detailed requirements can be found in the CGAP-RR Supplementary Specification.
Justification:
The enclosed CGAP-RR requirements reflect the third and final phase of implementation needed to support the CGAP-RR production release scheuduled for Juanuary 2005.
This release consists of performance tuning, new functionality, automatic document display and bug fixes.
This release has a major impact on the success of expediting electronic applications.

3. Level of Effort

Impacted Group	LOE Time	Assessor
Analysis		
Development		
Integration Test		
Acceptance Test		
Operation		

Overall Impact Assessment
(Medium) Will require DBCC changes.

4. Associated Defects

Defect Id	Defect Headline
CQERA00021293	Minor Defects found in CGAP-RR 1.1.2

5. Associated Sub Tasks

Task Id	Task Headline
CQERA00020487	Automatically open images for each record
CQERA00020492	First Contact: don't prompt user to save if nothing has been changed
CQERA00020707	Add ability to indicate application is 'in process'
CQERA00020709	Sorts on First Contact Checks
CQERA00020758	Implement e-Notification processing for CGAP applications
CQERA00020765	Breakout and Referral Officer Workload reports
CQERA00021270	Generate/modify Label Report for e-applications
CQERA00021271	Add online documentation to Help Link
CQERA00021272	Validate RFA/PA Notice number on First Contact screen
CQERA00021273	Bypass Breakout and Referral for CGAP applications in response to an RFA/PA
CQERA00021295	Provide text size flexibility on all CGAP-RR screens

6. Associated Issues

Issue Id	Issue Headline